(a) whether Government have assessed the Integrated Rural Development Programme in Madhya Pradesh;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether any shortcomings in implementation of the programme have been noticed; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof and the action Government propose to take to remove those shortcomings?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI CHANDRADEO PRASAD VARMA): (a) to (d) The Concurrent Evaluation Survey of IRDP (IV Round for 1992-93) has been conducted in all states of the country including Madhya Pradesh. The results of this survey show that 36.12% of the beneficiaries assisted under IRDP in Madhya Pradesh were able to cross the poverty line of Rs. 6,400 and 7.66% of the beneficiaries could cross the revised poverty line of Rs.11,000. 57.5% of IRDP beneficiaries in Madhya Pradesh reported no ovcrducs. However, 29.43% of the beneficiaries did not report any increase in the annual family income through IRDP. One of the major weaknesses of the progrmme is thai in 52.35% cases aftercare and support by Government for the activities was not given and input facilities were not available in 51% cases in the primary sector. The Ministry has taken several steps to improve the efficacy of IRDP as a means of alleviating poverty. These measures include raising of level of investment per family, higher allocation for infrastructure development input facilities/aftercare support, encouragement to group activities etc. The results of the Concurrent Evaluation Survey have also been circulated to all the State Government for further corrective action.

**Drinking Water Schemes in States**

1927. SHRIMATI JAYANTI PAT-NAIK: Will the Minister of RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the Centrally sponsored drinking water supply scheme launched in different States during the Eight Five Year Plan;

(b) the funds allocated to different States under those schemes, year-wise;

(c) the number of problem villages identified by the end of the Seventh Five Year Plan in those States and the achievement in providing drinking water, as on date; and

(d) by when every village in the coun try would be provided with safe drinking water?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI CHANDRADEO PRASAD VARMA): (a) No Centrally Sponsored Drinking Water Supply Scheme was launched during the Eight Five Year Plan. Centrally Sponsored ARWSP is being implemented in the States/UTs since 1972-73 (except from 1974-75 to 1976-77 when schemes were taken up only under MNP).

(b) The allocation to different States under ARWSP from 1992-93 to 1996-97, year-wise, is given in the Statement-I (see below).

(c) At the end of Seventh Five Year Plan, 8365 identified problem villages were left over for supply of safe drinking water facilities. As on date 120 Problem Villages remain to be covered in differen states as show as in the Statement-II *(Set* below). However a fresh survey was car ried out during 1991—94 to assess tc Status of drinking water supply situation in the country. Based on this survey a on 31.5.96-74122 habitations are to b< provided to with safe drinking water.

(d) All the 'Not Covered' habitation: are proposed to be provided safe;drinkinj water facilities by 1997-98 and Partially Covered habitation by the year 2000.

Staemcnt-I

*Allocation under ARWSP* *(Rs.in Crores)*

| States/UTs | (1992-93) | (1993-94) | (1994-95) | (1995-96) | (1996-97) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Andhra Pradesh | 25.470 | 41.240 | 46.440 | 60.270 | 66.180 |
| Arunahcal Pr. | 4.620 | 7.460 | 8.420 | 10.920 | 12.000 |
| Assam | 13.700 | 13.700 | 14.220 | 18.450 | 20.260 |
| Bihar | 29.900 | 48.560 | 54.690 | 70.990 | 77.950 |
| Goa | 0.550 | 0.840 | 1.290 | 1.700 | 1.890 |
| Gujarat | 16.330 | 26.560 | 30.390 | 38.500 | 41.970 |
| Haryana | 9.990 | 16.520 | 20.080 | 23.120 | 24.410 |
| Himachal Pr. | 6.420 | 8.330 | 9.420 | 12.150 | 13.310 |
| J&K | 19.160 | 23.030 | 25.990 | 33.620 | 36.880 |
| Karnataka | 23.420 | 35.120 | 42.720 | 55.440 | 60.870 |
| Kerala | 11.910 | 19.280 | 21.720 | 28.190 | 30.950 |
| Madriya Pr. | 28.190 | 45.640 | 51.420 | 66.730 | 73.270 |
| Maharashtra | 33.990 | 54.880 | 61.820 | 80.230 | 88.100 |
| Manipur | 3.080 | 3.080 | 3.090 | 4.010 | 4.400 |
| Meghalaya | 4.200 | 4.200 | 4.200 | 4.300 | 4.720 |
| Mizoram | 1.290 | 2.100 | 2.360 | 3.070 | 3.370 |
| Nagaland | 4.220 | 4.220 | 4.220 | 4.220 | 4.220 |
| Orissa | 13.350 | 21.600 | 24.340 | 31.590 | 34.680 |
| Punjab | 4.240 | 6.880 | 7.750 | 10.060 | 11.050 |
| Rajasthan | 41.830 | 68.880 | 82.220 | 97.390 | 103.870 |
| Sikkim | 3.720 | 3.720 | 3.720 | 3.720 | 3.720 |
| Tamil Nadu | 20.190 | 32.680 | 36.820 | 47.790 | 52.470 |
| Tripura | 3.500 | 3.500 | 3.500 | 3.800 | 4.180 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 47.240 | 76.480 | 86.160 | 111.820 | 122.780 |
| West Bengal | 18.240 | 29.520 | 33.260 | 43.170 | 47.700 |
| A&N Island | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.380 | 0.440 | 0.250 |
| D&N Haveli | 0.130 | 0.200 | 0.220 | 0.250 | 0.150 |
| Delhi | 0.140 | 0.220 | 0.250 | 0.290 | 0.300 |
| Laksha Dweep | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.120 | 0.000 |
| Pondicherry | 0.260 | 0.260 | 0.260 | 0.300 | 0.200 |
| Daman & Diu | 0.220 | 0.950 | 0.130 | 0.150 | 0.100 |
| Total | 390.000 | 600.270 | 681.600 | 866.800 | 945.900 |

**Statement-II**

| SI. No. | State | No. of PVS as at the end of VII Plan | Achievement | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Assam | 444 | 441 | 3 |
| 2. | Bihar | 44 | 44 | — |
| 3. | Gujarat | 99 | 90 | 9 |
| 4. | Haryana | 171 | 171 | — |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | 1107 | 1107 | — |

| SI. No. | State | No. of PVS as the end of VII Plan | Achievement | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. | Jammu & Kashmir | 905 | 875 | 30 |
| 7. | Kerala | 1 | 1 | — |
| 8. | Madhya Pradesh | 146 | 146 | — |
| 9. | Maharashtra | 98 | 76 | 22 |
| 10. | Meghalaya | 1421 | 1375 | 46 |
| 11. | Mizoram | 68 | 68 | — |
| 12. | Nagaland | 26 | 26 | — |
| 13. | Orissa | 1320 | 1320 | — |
| 14. | Punjab | 948 | 948 | — |
| 15. | Rajasthan | 400 | 390 | 10 |
| 16. | Sikkim | 7 | 7 | — |
| 17. | Tamil Nadu | 18 | 18 | — |
| 18. | Tripura | 130 | 130 | — |
| 19. | Uttar Pradesh | 1012 | 1012 | — |
| | Total | 8365 | 8245 | 120 |

**Repatriation of Officers on Deputation**

1928. SHRI S.M. KRISHNA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a number of officers have completed their period of deputation in various Ministries/Departments and are due for repatriation to their respective cadres;

(b) if so, the number of such officers belonging to the Central Services viz, IAS, IPS, etc. who are due for repatriation; and

(c) whether Government have taken any steps for their repatriation and to bring other officers on deputation in their place?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS. (SHRI S. R. BALASUBRAMONIYAM) (a) 71 officers belonging to various services, who had been on deputation under the Central Staffing Scheme to various MinistriesOepartments under the Government of India have been repatriated to their respective cadres, on completion of their tenures between the period 1st Jan.; 96 to 15th July' 96.

(b) 97 officers belonging to various services, who came on deputation under the Central Staffing Scheme to various Ministries/Departments under the Government of India will be completing their tenures between the period 15th July, '96 to 31st December, 1996.

(c) This is an on-going process and the vacancies are filled up in accordance with the provisions of the Central Staffing Scheme after following the prescribed procedure laid down therein.

**Power Generation by National Hydro Electric Power Corporation**

1929. DR. D. VENKATESHWAR RAO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the National Hydro Electric Power Corporation recorded the highest power generation from its five plants in 1995-96;

(b) if so, whether the NHPC exceeds its generation target;